

AMENDMENT G (RESPONSE TO PAPER NO. 20070906,
OFFICE ACTION DATED SEPT. 20, 2007)

REMARKS

Claims 1-6, 8-14, 17 and 19-20 are now pending in this application. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection in view of the remarks contained herein.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-6, 8-14, 17 and 19-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kobayashi (U.S. Patent No. 6,633,759). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

With regard to claim 1, Kobayashi fails to show, teach, or suggest, inter alia, wherein the information about the interface client includes at least information about display capabilities of the interface client. The Examiner cites col. 14, lines 12-15 of Kobayashi as disclosing wherein the information about the interface client includes at least information about display capabilities of the interface client. However, this portion merely discloses that the user of the PC 1 can receive (1) electric field intensity information (e.g., wireless signal strength information) for a wireless link between the cellular phone 2 and the local cellular phone station 3 or (2) battery information of the cellular phone 2. Applicants can find no mention of information about display capabilities of the interface client in the cited portions of Kobayashi.

Furthermore, Kobayashi fails to show, teach, or suggest, inter alia, determining that the interface client is usable to display the content based at least on the information about the display capabilities of the interface client. The Examiner cites col. 14, lines 12-15 of Kobayashi as

AMENDMENT G (RESPONSE TO PAPER NO. 20070906,
OFFICE ACTION DATED SEPT. 20, 2007)

disclosing determining that the interface client is usable to display the content based at least on the information about the display capabilities of the interface client. However, this portion merely discloses the user of the PC 1 can check whether the electric field intensity information (e.g., wireless signal strength) of a wireless link between the cellular phone 2 and the local cellular phone station 3 is sufficiently strong to receive data. When the electric field intensity information (e.g., wireless signal strength) of the wireless link is sufficiently strong, the user can manipulate the PC 1 to send data using the cellular phone 2 to the cellular phone station 3.

The user of the PC 1 can also check whether the charging state of the battery the cellular phone 2 sufficient. If the charging state of the battery is not sufficient, the user can manipulate the PC 1 to send a command to turn off the cellular phone 2 in order to save battery power. Applicants can find no mention of determining that the interface client is usable to display the content based at least on the information about the display capabilities of the interface client in the cited portions of Kobayashi.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1. Claims 9 and 17 are allowable for at least similar reasons as claim 1. Therefore, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 9 and 17 is respectfully requested.

AMENDMENT G (RESPONSE TO PAPER NO. 20070906,
OFFICE ACTION DATED SEPT. 20, 2007)

Claims 2-6, 8, 10-14, 19-20 each ultimately depend on one of claims 1, 9, and 17 and are allowable for at least similar reasons. Claims 2-6, 8, 10-14, 19-20 are also believed to be allowable for having novel and non-obvious subject matter. Therefore, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 2-6, 8, 10-14, 19-20 is respectfully requested.


AMENDMENT G (RESPONSE TO PAPER NO. 20070906,
OFFICE ACTION DATED SEPT. 20, 2007)

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (312) 609-7620.

Respectfully submitted,
VEDDER PRICE P.C.

Date: January 8, 2008

By: 
Mark A. Dalla Valle
Reg. No. 34,147

Attorney for Assignee
222 N. LaSalle St.
Chicago, IL 60601
Telephone: 312-609-7500
Facsimile: 312-609-5005
Customer No.: 23418
Atty. Docket No.: 11602.00.0005